



Meeting Notes

Tuesday, July 8, 2014, NACC Business Meeting
DePaul University, School of Public Service, – Dublin Room #1601
14 East Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL (USA)

Rooney called the meeting to order at 2pm and introduced the new NACC president and new officers. Recent, current, and incoming presidents were presented with an engraved gavel.

Mendel raised the issue of recruiting new members. Hale indicated that there is a list of potential members. Ashcraft indicated that there is a potential growth market in continuing education. Feit indicated that she is willing to contact centers identified by current members. **Members are asked to submit contact information for potential members to the VP of Membership, Maureen Feit, at feitm@seattleu.edu.**

Ashcraft made a motion to approve the minutes/meeting summary from the November 2013 meeting; Donmoyer 2nd the motion. Unanimously approved.

Donmoyer presented an overview of most current financial activity report – key issue, NACC did not dip into the \$30,000 carryover from the 2013 conference.

Krauskopf requested revenues in the budget be broken down further. Irvin asked for breakdown of carryover funds – indicate from what year/where the carryover came. *Donmoyer made a motion to approve the FY 2015 budget; 2nd by Rooney. Unanimously approved.*

Donmoyer requested an official thank you letter be submitted to DePaul in thanks for sponsoring facilities by waiving charges for this meeting & 2015 conference.

Ihrke asked if NACC can send info on sponsorships. Shimek indicated that this will be part of the conference planning committee tasks. Rooney indicated that they will sponsor something.

Donmoyer made a motion to approve the financial policies; Krauskopf 2nd. Unanimously approved.

Hale made a motion to approve the proposed policy regarding the process for changing NACC representative, as amended; 2nd by Mendel. Unanimously approved.

Mendel made a request for volunteers for NACC Conference Planning Committee and Chair. The NACC Conference to be held July 15, 2015 –July 16, 2015, (membership meeting is 7/14/15). Planning committee will develop theme. Group will meet monthly to help determine Decisions are italicized. Bold indicates further action required.



Meeting Notes

plenary speakers, determine themes, review proposals & work w/consultant on acceptance & rejection of proposals. **Richard Waters, Julie Turner & Mel Gray volunteered to serve on the committee. Matt Hale and William Brown volunteered to serve as co-chairs.**

Hale provided background on the discussion of an additional membership category (e.g., affiliate) for continuing education/practitioner areas. Ashcraft indicated that this is a growing field & is a supporter of this type of category. Donmoyer suggested that conference might have a continuing education tract if this type of category were created. Gray indicated that NACC would benefit from having this type of membership category because current members focus more on getting them into the field, not necessarily keeping them informed afterwards. Ihrke indicated that NACC offers credibility to institutions. Clerkin – what does non-degree membership do to our brand? Need to screen out for-profit focused programs that are not necessarily quality-centric. Can we define the category in a way that screens out the type NACC doesn't want? Turner – look for those with affiliation w/university...NACC as an incubator. So program serves as a feeder into academic programs. Education vs. training – is the academic piece important? For existing member, continuing education membership – there would be an additional cost for free standing programs. Rogers has continuing ed component, but has concerns if this takes NACC away from its mission... there are opportunities for these programs to meet outside of an organization. Is NACC's interest in the ends or the means?

Hale, Rogers, Krauskopf, Ashcraft & Feit volunteered to s/u an adhoc committee to further discuss this issue...what might this type of membership look like.

Shimek provided background on proposed changes to Bylaws. *Krauskopf proposed an amendment. Donmoyer made a motion to approve the bylaws, as amended. Hale 2nd the motion. Approved unanimously.*

Ashcraft indicated that the curriculum guideline revisions were delayed for various reasons. Goal by ARNOVA, that there will be data analyzed enough to lead discussion & vet input. Portal for input will be kept open until the middle or end of August. Feedback requested through ISTR, ARNOVA, etc.

Rogers reported on the task force to explore the expansion of Nu Lambda Mu honor society...undergraduate – no for now. Capacity to handle processing is limited within NACC office. Is there enough of a pool of undergraduates. What about non NACC institutions? More of a burden to navigate applications of nonmembers by NACC office...but this may lead to increased interest of nonmember institutions...also, how would this be marketed to nonmembers. Task force question – what are we trying to do with the honor society – is it a revenue generator or mechanism to generate interest of nonmembers to join NACC? Non

Decisions are italicized. Bold indicates further action required.



Meeting Notes

NACC members have not had programs that have been vetted. What about NACC member institutions that have undergrad programs...since the programs have been vetted. **Can we set up a Qualtrics survey to ask members how many have undergraduate, number of students, good idea? Increase price of admission...what will be additional benefits be? Use to determine volume of work.** Shimek will f/u with Rogers re: survey...would likely need to change requirements for undergrads. Report out for fall NACC meeting will be results of survey & request for action by NACC members. Maybe NACC could give honor society members a year subscription to JNEL.

Request that Shimek post historical Nu Lambda Mu inductees on the Nu Lambda Mu webpage. Can NACC set up Facebook and Linked in pages for Nu Lambda Mu?

Presentation by the publisher and editors of JNE&L to update the NACC membership on the special issue on the journal (arising from last summer's conference), the direction of the Journal, and the influence JNEL might welcome for NACC members in its publications strategy, Norman Dolch (JNEL) and William Anderson (Sagamore Publishing). Dolch shared the vision to move to quarterly publication. All peer reviewed submissions. They will consider case studies that can be used in the classroom. Now have articles in queue through fall 2015. One issue/year will be dedicated to center and program-related issues. Also a themed issue each year (e.g., teaching of NP ethics, experiential learning, co-curricular activities). Anderson indicated that Sagamore is interested in formalizing a relationship between NACC & JNEL. They would consider having NACC member serve as a special editor. **Rooney indicated that it would be helpful to have some metrics to help determine whether it's worthwhile for junior faculty to submit.** On track to have tracking of citations for articles (impact data). Dolch suggested formalizing the relationship between NACC and JNEL. What does "formalize the relationship" mean? Response: No money required. One of quarterly issues dedicated to NACC conference. Special issue would be January of the following year. Board will further discuss & bring any decision to the members for a decision.

The members went into executive session to discuss the continuation of the contract with the current executive director.